## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

ROSHANAK AMELI-TEHRANI,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 09-cv-14126

Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant,

DISTRICT JUDGE MARK A. GOLDSMITH

VS.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE MONA K. MAJZOUB

L. KENT WHITEMAN,

<b>Defendant/Counter-P</b>	Plaintiff

ORDER GRANTING IN PART DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR SANCTIONS (DOCKET NO. 28) AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH AND/OR MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RELATING TO THE AT&T AND CINGULAR WIRELESS SUBPOENAS (DOCKET NO. 43)

These matters are before the Court on two motions. The first motion is Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff's ("Defendant") Second Motion to Compel and for Sanctions. (Docket no. 28). The second motion is Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Motion for Protective Order Relating to AT&T and Cingular Wireless Subpoenas. (Docket no. 43). The motions are fully briefed. The parties have filed a Joint Statement of Resolved and Unresolved Issues. (Docket no. 78). These matters have been referred to the undersigned for decision pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). (Docket nos. 30, 46). The Court heard oral argument on the motions on December 20, 2010. The motions are now ready for ruling.

## 1. Defendant's Second Motion to Compel and For Sanctions

Defendant served his Second Set of Interrogatories and Document Requests on July 23, 2010, consisting of Interrogatories nos. 5-9 and Requests for Production of Documents nos. 60-78. On September 2, 2010, in response to a prior Motion to Quash filed by the Plaintiff/Counter-

Defendant ("Plaintiff"), the Court entered an Order striking Defendant's Second Document Requests nos. 61-72. (Docket no. 32). In the instant motion Defendant states that Plaintiff failed to serve responses to the remaining discovery requests, which include Defendant's Second Set of Interrogatories nos. 5-9 and Second Requests for Production of Documents nos. 60 and 73-78. The parties' joint statement reveals that they have narrowed the issues in this motion, but that they have been unable to resolve issues pertaining to Second Requests for Production of Documents nos. 77 and 78.

Request for Production no. 77 asks Plaintiff to produce all correspondence to or from any entity or person she subpoenaed in this litigation. At the hearing on this motion Defendant stated on the record that through this request he only seeks to obtain a letter sent from Comcast to Plaintiff's counsel which purportedly states that Comcast has no documents responsive to the subpoena. Plaintiff agreed to provide the Comcast letter to defense counsel at his expense. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Compel documents responsive to Second Request for Production no. 77 will be granted only as to the Comcast letter. Defendant will be required to pay the Plaintiff's reasonable copying costs and postage fees associated with copying and mailing the letter.

Second Request for Production no. 78 asks Plaintiff to produce all documents produced by any entity or person subpoenaed in this litigation. Defendant represented at the motion hearing that he has not received documents produced in response to the Plaintiff's Bank of America and Ann Arbor Commerce Bank subpoenas. The Court will grant Defendant's motion as to Second Request for Production no. 78 and will order Plaintiff to produce documents she received in response to subpoenas she sent to Bank of America and Ann Arbor Commerce Bank. Defendant will be

required to pay the Plaintiff's reasonable copying costs and postage fees associated with copying and mailing the documents.

Defendant's request for attorney's fees and costs will be denied. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(B).

## 2. Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Motion for Protective Order Relating to the AT&T and Cingular Wireless Subpoenas

Defendant moves for an order to quash and/or for a protective order relating to two subpoenas duces tecum issued by Plaintiff to AT&T and Cingular Wireless for all of Defendant's home and cell phone records for a three year period. The subpoenas commanded that the documents be produced by September 24, 2010. (Docket no. 43, Ex. A). In response to Defendant's motion, Plaintiff advised the Court that she voluntarily withdrew the two subpoenas on September 10, 2010. (Docket no. 57). She now argues in her joint statement that the phone records have once again become relevant by Defendant's assertion in his Motion for Partial Summary Judgment that the parties ended their personal relationship in 2004. Hence, she now claims in the joint statement that the issue in this motion is unresolved.

Plaintiff has advised the Court and the Defendant that she withdrew the subpoenas on September 10, 2010. Discovery closed on September 15, 2010. (Docket no. 14). The Court will therefore grant Defendant's Motion to Quash on the basis that the subpoenas were voluntarily withdrawn by Plaintiff and are no longer valid.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Second Motion to Compel and for Sanctions (docket no. 28) is **GRANTED IN PART**. On or before January 7, 2011 Plaintiff's counsel must provide to Defendant's counsel a copy of the letter Comcast served on Plaintiff's counsel in response to Plaintiff's subpoena, along with copies of documents Plaintiff's counsel received in response to subpoenas she sent to Bank of America and Ann Arbor Commerce Bank.

Defendant must pay the Plaintiff's reasonable copying costs and postage fees associated with

copying and mailing the documents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Quash and/or Motion for

Protective Order Relating to AT&T and Cingular Wireless Subpoenas (docket no. 43) is

**GRANTED** as provided in this Order.

NOTICE TO THE PARTIES

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a), the parties have a period of fourteen days

from the date of this Order within which to file any written appeal to the District Judge as may be

permissible under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Dated: December 22, 2010

s/ Mona K. Majzoub

MONA K. MAJZOUB

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Order was served upon Counsel of Record on this date.

Dated: December 22, 2010

s/ Lisa C. Bartlett

Case Manager

4